Unsupervised learning

December 29, 2024

1. The challenge of unsupervised learning

2. Principal components analysis

3. Clustering methods

- Most of this course focuses on *supervised learning* methods such as regression and classification.
- In that setting we observe both a set of features X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p for each object and a response or outcome variable Y. The goal is to predict Y using X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p .
- Here instead we focus on *unsupervised learning*, where we observe only the features X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p . We are not interested in prediction because we do not have an associated response variable Y.

- Most of this course focuses on *supervised learning* methods such as regression and classification.
- In that setting we observe both a set of features X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p for each object and a response or outcome variable Y. The goal is to predict Y using X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p .
- Here instead we focus on *unsupervised learning*, where we observe only the features X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p . We are not interested in prediction because we do not have an associated response variable Y.

- Most of this course focuses on *supervised learning* methods such as regression and classification.
- In that setting we observe both a set of features X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p for each object and a response or outcome variable Y. The goal is to predict Y using X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p .
- Here instead we focus on *unsupervised learning*, where we observe only the features X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p . We are not interested in prediction because we do not have an associated response variable Y.

• Discover interesting things about X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p .

- Find informative ways to visualize the data
- Find sets of observations that are similar

- Discover interesting things about X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p .
- Find informative ways to visualize the data
- Find sets of observations that are similar

- Discover interesting things about X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p .
- Find informative ways to visualize the data
- Find sets of observations that are similar

• *Principal components analysis (PCA)* is a tool for visualization or for pre-processing before applying supervised learning techniques.

4

• *Clustering* is a class of methods for discovering meaningful subsets of similar observations within the data.

- *Principal components analysis (PCA)* is a tool for visualization or for pre-processing before applying supervised learning techniques.
- *Clustering* is a class of methods for discovering meaningful subsets of similar observations within the data.

The challenge of unsupervised learning

• More subjective than *supervised* learning

- Lack of response observations means goals are less obvious
- Despite these challenges, there are useful applications that don't require a response variable, including:
 - subsets of breast cancer patient data help distinguish different cancer types based on gene expression measurements
 - shoppers can be clustered by their browsing and purchase histories
 - movies can be clustered by the ratings assigned by movie viewers
 - etc.

- More subjective than *supervised* learning
- Lack of response observations means goals are less obvious
- Despite these challenges, there are useful applications that don't require a response variable, including:
 - subsets of breast cancer patient data help distinguish different cancer types based on gene expression measurements
 - shoppers can be clustered by their browsing and purchase histories
 - movies can be clustered by the ratings assigned by movie viewers
 - etc.

- More subjective than *supervised* learning
- Lack of response observations means goals are less obvious
- Despite these challenges, there are useful applications that don't require a response variable, including:
 - subsets of breast cancer patient data help distinguish different cancer types based on gene expression measurements
 - shoppers can be clustered by their browsing and purchase histories
 - movies can be clustered by the ratings assigned by movie viewers
 - etc.

- More subjective than *supervised* learning
- Lack of response observations means goals are less obvious
- Despite these challenges, there are useful applications that don't require a response variable, including:
 - subsets of breast cancer patient data help distinguish different cancer types based on gene expression measurements
 - shoppers can be clustered by their browsing and purchase histories
 - movies can be clustered by the ratings assigned by movie viewers
 - etc.

- More subjective than *supervised* learning
- Lack of response observations means goals are less obvious
- Despite these challenges, there are useful applications that don't require a response variable, including:
 - subsets of breast cancer patient data help distinguish different cancer types based on gene expression measurements
 - shoppers can be clustered by their browsing and purchase histories
 - movies can be clustered by the ratings assigned by movie viewers
 - etc.

- More subjective than *supervised* learning
- Lack of response observations means goals are less obvious
- Despite these challenges, there are useful applications that don't require a response variable, including:
 - subsets of breast cancer patient data help distinguish different cancer types based on gene expression measurements
 - shoppers can be clustered by their browsing and purchase histories
 - movies can be clustered by the ratings assigned by movie viewers
 - etc.

- Getting *unlabeled data* is easier than getting *labeled data*, the latter typically requiring processing by humans.
- For example, is a given movie review favorable or not? Answering this question with yes or not would turn the data into labeled data but may be a non-obvious task. Unsupervised methods can simply use the movie ratings unlabelled.

- Getting *unlabeled data* is easier than getting *labeled data*, the latter typically requiring processing by humans.
- For example, is a given movie review favorable or not? Answering this question with yes or not would turn the data into labeled data but may be a non-obvious task. Unsupervised methods can simply use the movie ratings unlabelled.

Principal components analysis

- Lower-dimensional representation of a dataset via a sequence of linear combinations of the variables that are mutually uncorrelated and explain the largest possible share of total variation.
- Dimension reduction also makes data more amenable to visualization because high-dimensional datasets are hard to visualize.

- Lower-dimensional representation of a dataset via a sequence of linear combinations of the variables that are mutually uncorrelated and explain the largest possible share of total variation.
- Dimension reduction also makes data more amenable to visualization because high-dimensional datasets are hard to visualize.

• The first principal component of a set of features X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p is the normalized linear combination of the features

$$Z_1 = \phi_{11}X_1 + \phi_{21}X_2 + \dots + \phi_{p1}X_p$$

that has the largest variance. Normalized means that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1}^2 = 1$.

- We refer to the elements $\phi_{11}, \ldots, \phi_{p1}$ as the *loadings* of the first principal component; together, they make up the *principal component loading vector*, $\phi_1 = (\phi_{11}\phi_{21}\cdots\phi_{p1})^T$.
- Constrain the loadings so their sum of squares is one. Otherwise setting them arbitrarily large would make the variance arbitrarily large.

• The *first principal component* of a set of features X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p is the normalized linear combination of the features

$$Z_1 = \phi_{11}X_1 + \phi_{21}X_2 + \dots + \phi_{p1}X_p$$

that has the largest variance. Normalized means that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1}^2 = 1$.

- We refer to the elements $\phi_{11}, \ldots, \phi_{p1}$ as the *loadings* of the first principal component; together, they make up the *principal component loading vector*, $\phi_1 = (\phi_{11}\phi_{21}\cdots\phi_{p1})^T$.
- Constrain the loadings so their sum of squares is one. Otherwise setting them arbitrarily large would make the variance arbitrarily large.

• The first principal component of a set of features X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p is the normalized linear combination of the features

$$Z_1 = \phi_{11}X_1 + \phi_{21}X_2 + \dots + \phi_{p1}X_p$$

that has the largest variance. Normalized means that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1}^2 = 1$.

- We refer to the elements $\phi_{11}, \ldots, \phi_{p1}$ as the *loadings* of the first principal component; together, they make up the *principal component loading vector*, $\phi_1 = (\phi_{11}\phi_{21}\cdots\phi_{p1})^T$.
- Constrain the loadings so their sum of squares is one. Otherwise setting them arbitrarily large would make the variance arbitrarily large.

Example: Population size and ad spending



Figure 1: The population size (pop) and ad spending (ad) for 100 cities shown as purple circles. Green solid line is first principal component direction. Blue dashed line is second principal component direction.

How principal components are calculated

- Suppose we have an $n \times p$ data set **X**. Since we are only interested in variance, we assume that each of the variables in **X** has been centered to have mean zero (that is, the column means of **X** are zero).
- We then look for the linear combination of the sample features values of the form

$$z_{i1} = \phi_{11}x_{i1} + \phi_{21}x_{i2} + \dots + \phi_{p1}x_{ip} \tag{1}$$

for i = 1, ..., n that has largest sample variance, subject to the constraint that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1}^2 = 1.$

• Since each of the x_{ij} has mean zero, then so does z_{i1} (for any values of ϕ_{j1}). Hence the sample variance of the z_{i1} can be written as $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i1}^2$.

Plugging in Eq. 1 the principal component loading vector solves the optimization problem

$$\phi_{11}, \dots, \phi_{p1} = rg \max rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \phi_{j1} x_{ij}
ight)^2.$$

This problem can be solved via a singular-value decomposition¹ of the matrix \mathbf{X} , a standard technique in linear algebra.

We refer to Z_1 as the first principal component, with realized values z_{11}, \ldots, z_{n1} .

¹For this course, it is enough to know that SVD can be used to solve this kind of problem.

Plugging in Eq. 1 the principal component loading vector solves the optimization problem

$$\phi_{11}, \dots, \phi_{p1} = rg \max \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{j1} x_{ij} \right)^2.$$

This problem can be solved via a singular-value decomposition¹ of the matrix \mathbf{X} , a standard technique in linear algebra.

We refer to Z_1 as the first principal component, with realized values z_{11}, \ldots, z_{n1} .

¹For this course, it is enough to know that SVD can be used to solve this kind of problem.

Plugging in Eq. 1 the principal component loading vector solves the optimization problem

$$\phi_{11}, \dots, \phi_{p1} = rg \max rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \phi_{j1} x_{ij} \right)^2.$$

This problem can be solved via a singular-value decomposition¹ of the matrix \mathbf{X} , a standard technique in linear algebra.

We refer to Z_1 as the first principal component, with realized values z_{11}, \ldots, z_{n1} .

¹For this course, it is enough to know that SVD can be used to solve this kind of problem.

The loading vector ϕ_1 with elements $\phi_{11}, \phi_{21}, \ldots, \phi_{p1}$ defines a direction in feature space along which the data vary the most.

If we project the *n* data points x_1, \ldots, x_n onto this direction, the projected values are the principal component scores z_{11}, \ldots, z_{n1} .

Further principal components

The second principal component is the linear combination of X_1, \ldots, X_p that has maximal variance among all linear combinations that are *uncorrelated* with Z_1 .

The second principal component scores $z_{12}, z_{22}, \ldots, z_{n2}$ take the form

$$z_{i2} = \phi_{12} x_{i1} + \phi_{22} x_{i2} + \dots + \phi_{p2} x_{ip},$$

The loading vector ϕ_1 with elements $\phi_{11}, \phi_{21}, \ldots, \phi_{p1}$ defines a direction in feature space along which the data vary the most.

If we project the *n* data points x_1, \ldots, x_n onto this direction, the projected values are the principal component scores z_{11}, \ldots, z_{n1} .

Further principal components

The second principal component is the linear combination of X_1, \ldots, X_p that has maximal variance among all linear combinations that are *uncorrelated* with Z_1 .

The second principal component scores $z_{12}, z_{22}, \ldots, z_{n2}$ take the form

$$z_{i2} = \phi_{12} x_{i1} + \phi_{22} x_{i2} + \dots + \phi_{p2} x_{ip},$$

The loading vector ϕ_1 with elements $\phi_{11}, \phi_{21}, \ldots, \phi_{p1}$ defines a direction in feature space along which the data vary the most.

If we project the *n* data points x_1, \ldots, x_n onto this direction, the projected values are the principal component scores z_{11}, \ldots, z_{n1} .

Further principal components

The second principal component is the linear combination of X_1, \ldots, X_p that has maximal variance among all linear combinations that are *uncorrelated* with Z_1 .

The second principal component scores $z_{12}, z_{22}, \ldots, z_{n2}$ take the form

$$z_{i2} = \phi_{12} x_{i1} + \phi_{22} x_{i2} + \dots + \phi_{p2} x_{ip},$$

The loading vector ϕ_1 with elements $\phi_{11}, \phi_{21}, \ldots, \phi_{p1}$ defines a direction in feature space along which the data vary the most.

If we project the *n* data points x_1, \ldots, x_n onto this direction, the projected values are the principal component scores z_{11}, \ldots, z_{n1} .

Further principal components

The second principal component is the linear combination of X_1, \ldots, X_p that has maximal variance among all linear combinations that are *uncorrelated* with Z_1 .

The second principal component scores $z_{12}, z_{22}, \ldots, z_{n2}$ take the form

$$z_{i2} = \phi_{12} x_{i1} + \phi_{22} x_{i2} + \dots + \phi_{p2} x_{ip},$$

Constraining Z_2 to be uncorrelated with Z_1 (and so on for Z_3 , etc.) is equivalent to constraining the direction ϕ_2 to be *orthogonal* (*perpendicular*) to the direction ϕ_1 .

Constraining Z_2 to be uncorrelated with Z_1 (and so on for Z_3 , etc.) is equivalent to constraining the direction ϕ_2 to be *orthogonal* (*perpendicular*) to the direction ϕ_1 .
Data set contains number of arrests per 100,000 residents for each of the 50 U.S. states for the crimes of Assault, Murder, and Rape; along with UrbanPop, the proportion of the population living in urban areas for each state.

The principal component score vectors have length n = 50, and the principal component loading vectors have length p = 4.

Data were standardized to mean zero and unit standard deviation before PCA.

Data set contains number of arrests per 100,000 residents for each of the 50 U.S. states for the crimes of Assault, Murder, and Rape; along with UrbanPop, the proportion of the population living in urban areas for each state.

The principal component score vectors have length n = 50, and the principal component loading vectors have length p = 4.

Data were standardized to mean zero and unit standard deviation before PCA.

Data set contains number of arrests per 100,000 residents for each of the 50 U.S. states for the crimes of Assault, Murder, and Rape; along with UrbanPop, the proportion of the population living in urban areas for each state.

The principal component score vectors have length n = 50, and the principal component loading vectors have length p = 4.

Data were standardized to mean zero and unit standard deviation before PCA.

Example: PCA of USArrests data, biplot

Figure 2: Two first principal components for USArrests data. Blue state names are scores for first two principal components. Orange arrows indicate first two principal component loading vectors (with axes on top and right). The loading for **Rape** on the first component is 0.54, and its loading on the second principal component 0.17. This figure is known as a *biplot* because it displays both principal component scores and principal component loadings.



First Principal Component

	Z_1	Z_2
Murder	0.5358995	-0.4181809
Assault	0.5831836	-0.1879856
UrbanPop	0.2781989	0.8728062
Rape	0.5434321	0.1673186

Table 1: The principal component loading vectors, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , for the USArrests data.

Principal components analysis

Another interpretation of principal components

PCA finds the (hyper)plane closest to the observations

Figure 3: The first principal component loading vector defines the line in *p*-dimensional space that is *closest* to the nobservations, measured by average squared Euclidean distance. This notion extends beyond the first principal component. The first two principal components then span the plane that is closest to the nobservations. Colors are for readability only.



PCA finds the (hyper)plane closest to the observations

Figure 4: The first two principal component score vectors give the coordinates of the projection of the 90 observations onto the plane. Colors are for readability only.



Scaling of the variables matters



Figure 5: If variables are in different units, scaling each to have unit standard deviation is recommended. If they are in the same units, you might or might not scale the variables.

Principal components analysis

The proportion of variance explained

Total variance in a data set centered to mean zero is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}(X_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij}^2,$$

and variance explained by mth principal component is

$$\operatorname{Var}(Z_m) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{im}^2.$$

One can show that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}(X_j) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \operatorname{Var}(Z_m)$, with $M = \min(n-1, p)$; that is, all PCs jointly explain all of the variance.

Total variance in a data set centered to mean zero is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}(X_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij}^2,$$

and variance explained by mth principal component is

$$\operatorname{Var}(Z_m) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_{im}^2$$

One can show that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}(X_j) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \operatorname{Var}(Z_m)$, with $M = \min(n-1, p)$; that is, all PCs jointly explain all of the variance.

How to calculate proportion of variance explained (PVE)

PVE of *m*th principal component is given by $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{im}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ii}^2} \in [0, 1].$



Figure 6: Left: Scree plot depicting proportion of variance explained by each of the four principle components in the **USArrests** data. Right: Cumulative PVE.

Principal components analysis

More on PCA

When using PCA as summary of data, how many PCs should we retain?

Cross-validation is not available to answer this question because there is no response data. A scree plot can provide an indication. Look for an "elbow," a point at which the additional variation explained by adding PCs decreases significantly. When using PCA as summary of data, how many PCs should we retain? Cross-validation is not available to answer this question because there is no response data. A scree plot can provide an indication. Look for an "elbow," a point at which the additional variation explained by adding PCs decreases significantly. When using PCA as summary of data, how many PCs should we retain? Cross-validation is not available to answer this question because there is no response data. A scree plot can provide an indication. Look for an "elbow," a point at which the additional variation explained by adding PCs decreases significantly.

Fill in the blanks

- 1. The correlation between the variables generated via PCA is $\hfill \hfill \$
- 2. PCA can explain at most _____ of the total variation in the dataset, if all principal components are retained.

True or false?

- 1. ___ PCA is a supervised learning method.
- 2. ___ PCA can be used as a pre-processing step and for visualizing high-dimensional data sets.
- 3. ___ PCA provides a lower-dimensional representation of the data set without losing the variable interpretations.
- 4. In many applications, a small number of the variables explain a large amount of their total variation, which PCA can reveal by computing proportion of variance explained.

Review

Fill in the blanks

- 1. The correlation between the variables generated via PCA is zero.
- 2. PCA can explain at most 100% of the total variation in the dataset, if all principal components are retained.

True or false?

- 1. **F** PCA is a supervised learning method.
- 2. T PCA can be used as a pre-processing step and for visualizing high-dimensional data sets.
- 3. F PCA provides a lower-dimensional representation of the data set without losing the variable interpretations.
- 4. T In many applications, a small number of the variables explain a large amount of their total variation, which PCA can reveal by computing proportion of variance explained.



Clustering methods

Clustering is a set of methods for finding similar subsets

- *Clusters* are *subsets* of the data that are similar in some meaningful sense.
- Partition of data set into distinct sets, such that elements in each set are similar to one another.
- What do we mean by *similar*?
- Often specific to domain of application, but we will see some examples.

Clustering is a set of methods for finding similar subsets

- *Clusters* are *subsets* of the data that are similar in some meaningful sense.
- Partition of data set into distinct sets, such that elements in each set are similar to one another.
- What do we mean by *similar*?
- Often specific to domain of application, but we will see some examples.

- *Clusters* are *subsets* of the data that are similar in some meaningful sense.
- Partition of data set into distinct sets, such that elements in each set are similar to one another.
- What do we mean by *similar*?
- Often specific to domain of application, but we will see some examples.

- *Clusters* are *subsets* of the data that are similar in some meaningful sense.
- Partition of data set into distinct sets, such that elements in each set are similar to one another.
- What do we mean by *similar*?
- Often specific to domain of application, but we will see some examples.

PCA finds low-dimensional representation of the data set that *explains a good fraction of total variance*.

Clustering *finds homogenous subsets* of observations; i.e., subsets whose elements are *similar* to one another.

PCA finds low-dimensional representation of the data set that *explains a good fraction of total variance*.

Clustering *finds homogenous subsets* of observations; i.e., subsets whose elements are *similar* to one another.

- Consider measurements for *median household income*, *occupation*, *distance from nearest urban area*, etc., for a large number of people.
- Goal: *Market segmentation* to identify subsets of people particularly receptive to some forms of advertising or more likely to buy a particular product.
- This is a clustering problem.

- Consider measurements for *median household income*, *occupation*, *distance from nearest urban area*, etc., for a large number of people.
- Goal: *Market segmentation* to identify subsets of people particularly receptive to some forms of advertising or more likely to buy a particular product.
- This is a clustering problem.

- Consider measurements for *median household income*, *occupation*, *distance from nearest urban area*, etc., for a large number of people.
- Goal: *Market segmentation* to identify subsets of people particularly receptive to some forms of advertising or more likely to buy a particular product.
- This is a clustering problem.

K-means clustering

Partition observations into a pre-specified number K of clusters

Hierarchical clustering

Explore clusters arising from all possible numbers of clusters between 1 and n, typically using a $dendrogram^2$

²From Greek δένδρον meaning tree and γράμμα meaning drawing or figure.

Clustering methods

K-means clustering

K-means clustering



Figure 7: A simulated data set with 150 observations in 2-dimensional space. Panels show the results of applying K-means clustering with different values of K, the number of clusters. The color of each observation indicates the cluster to which it was assigned using the K means clustering algorithm. Note that there is no ordering of the clusters, so the cluster coloring is arbitrary. These cluster labels were not used in clustering; instead, they are the outputs of the clustering procedure.

Let C_1, \ldots, C_K denote sets containing the indices of the observations in each cluster. If the *i*th observation is in the *k*th cluster, then $i \in C_k$. These sets satisfy two properties:³

- 1. $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_K = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. In other words, each observation belongs to at least one of the K clusters.
- 2. $C_k \cup C_{k'} = \emptyset$ for all $k \neq k'$. In other words, the clusters are non-overlapping: no observation belongs to more than one cluster.

 $^{^{3}}$ This is actually the definition of the *partition* of a set. The same concept was used in tree-based methods for segmentation of the predictor space.

K-means clustering minimizes within-cluster variation

- A good clustering is one for which the *within-cluster variation* is as small as possible. This is what we meant above by saying that clustering found subsets of the data whose observations were similar.
- For a cluster C_k , within-cluster variation $WCV(C_k)$ measures how different observations within the cluster are.
- K-means clustering solves the problem

$$\{C_1, \dots, C_K\} = \arg\min\left\{\sum_{k=1}^K \operatorname{WCV}(C_k)\right\}.$$
(2)

• In words, we partition the observations into K clusters such that total within-cluster variation summed over all K clusters is as small as possible.

K-means clustering minimizes within-cluster variation

- A good clustering is one for which the *within-cluster variation* is as small as possible. This is what we meant above by saying that clustering found subsets of the data whose observations were similar.
- For a cluster C_k , within-cluster variation WCV(C_k) measures how different observations within the cluster are.
- K-means clustering solves the problem

$$\{C_1, \dots, C_K\} = \arg\min\left\{\sum_{k=1}^K \operatorname{WCV}(C_k)\right\}.$$
(2)

• In words, we partition the observations into K clusters such that total within-cluster variation summed over all K clusters is as small as possible.

WCV(
$$C_k$$
) = $\frac{1}{|C_k|} \sum_{i,i' \in C_k} \sum_{j=1}^p (x_{ij} - x_{i'j})^2$, (3)

where $|C_k|$ is number of observations in kth cluster.

Combining 2 and 3 gives the optimization problem that defines K-means clustering,

$$\{C_1, \dots, C_K\} = \arg\min\left\{\sum_{k=1}^K \frac{1}{|C_k|} \sum_{i,i' \in C_k} \sum_{j=1}^p (x_{ij} - x_{i'j})^2\right\}.$$
(4)
Algorithm

- 1. Randomly assign a number, from 1 to K to each of the observations. These serve as initial cluster assignments for the observations.
- 2. Iterate until the cluster assignments stop changing:
 - 2.1 For each of the K clusters, compute the cluster *centroid*. The kth cluster centroid is the vector of the p feature means for the observations in the kth cluster.
 - 2.2 Assign each observation to the cluster whose centroid is closest (where *closest* is defined using Euclidean distance).

Note that

$$\frac{1}{|C_k|} \sum_{i,i' \in C_k} \sum_{j=1}^p (x_{ij} - x_{i'j})^2 = 2 \sum_{i \in C_k} \sum_{j=1}^p (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{kj})^2.$$

However, this is not guaranteed to give the global minimum.



Figure 8: Top left: Observations; Top center: Assign each observation randomly to a cluster; Top right: Compute cluster centroids (colored disks); for random initial cluster assignment, centroids overlap; Bottom left: Each observation is assigned to the nearest centroid; Bottom center: cluster centroid assignment is repeated, leading to new cluster centroids; Bottom right: Result obtained after 10 iterations.



Figure 8: Top left: Observations; Top center: Assign each observation randomly to a cluster. Top right:

Compute cluster centroids (colored disks); for random initial cluster assignment, centroids overlap; Bottom left: Each observation is assigned to the nearest centroid; Bottom center: cluster centroid assignment is repeated, leading to new cluster centroids; Bottom right: Result obtained after 10 iterations.



Figure 8: Top left: Observations; Top center: Assign each observation randomly to a cluster; Top right: Compute cluster centroids (colored disks); for random initial cluster assignment, centroids overlap; Bottom left: Each observation is assigned to the nearest centroid; Bottom center: cluster centroid assignment is repeated, leading to new cluster centroids; Bottom right: Result obtained after 10 iterations.



Figure 8: Top left: Observations; Top center: Assign each observation randomly to a cluster; Top right: Compute cluster centroids (colored disks); for random initial cluster assignment, centroids overlap; Bottom left: Each observation is assigned to the nearest centroid. Bottom center, cluster centroid assignment is repeated

leading to new cluster centroids; Bottom right: Result obtained after 10 iterations.



Figure 8: Top left: Observations; Top center: Assign each observation randomly to a cluster; Top right: Compute cluster centroids (colored disks); for random initial cluster assignment, centroids overlap; Bottom left: Each observation is assigned to the nearest centroid; Bottom center: cluster centroid assignment is repeated, leading to new cluster centroids; Bottom right: Result obtained after 10 iterations.



Figure 8: Top left: Observations; Top center: Assign each observation randomly to a cluster; Top right: Compute cluster centroids (colored disks); for random initial cluster assignment, centroids overlap; Bottom left: Each observation is assigned to the nearest centroid; Bottom center: cluster centroid assignment is repeated, leading to new cluster centroids; Bottom right: Result obtained after 10 iterations.

Example: K-means algorithm with K = 3, starting values



Figure 9: K-means clustering performed six times on data from previous figure with K = 3, with different random assignment of observations in Step 1 of the algorithm. Above each plot is the value of the objective (Eq. 4). Three local optima were obtained, one of which resulted in a smaller value of the objective and provides better cluster separation. Those labeled in red all achieved the same best solution.

Clustering methods

Hierarchical clustering

• In K-means clustering, we specify number of clusters K in advance.

- *Hierarchical clustering* does not require this.
- This section describes *bottom-up* or *agglomerative* clustering, the most common form of clustering that builds a dendrogram from the leaves up to the trunk ("bottom-up").⁴

⁴Recall that in tree diagrams—unlike in botany—terminal nodes/leaves are at the bottom of the diagram.

- In K-means clustering, we specify number of clusters K in advance.
- *Hierarchical clustering* does not require this.
- This section describes *bottom-up* or *agglomerative* clustering, the most common form of clustering that builds a dendrogram from the leaves up to the trunk ("bottom-up").⁴

⁴Recall that in tree diagrams—unlike in botany—terminal nodes/leaves are at the bottom of the diagram

- In K-means clustering, we specify number of clusters K in advance.
- *Hierarchical clustering* does not require this.
- This section describes *bottom-up* or *agglomerative* clustering, the most common form of clustering that builds a dendrogram from the leaves up to the trunk ("bottom-up").⁴

⁴Recall that in tree diagrams—unlike in botany—terminal nodes/leaves are at the bottom of the diagram.

Hierarchical clustering on simulated data



Figure 10: 45 observations generated in 2-dimensional space. In reality there are three distinct classes, shown in separate colors. However, we will treat these class labels as unknown and will seek to cluster the observations in order to discover the classes from the data.

Dendrogram for simulated data set cut at different heights



Figure 11: Left: Dendrogram obtained from hierarchically clustering simulated data from previous slide, with *complete* linkage and Euclidean distance; Center: Dendrogram from left panel cut at a height of 9 (dashed line), resulting in two distinct clusters shown in different colors; Right: Same dendrogram cut at a height of 5, resulting in three clusters.

Linkage	Description
Complete	Maximal inter-cluster dissimilarity. Compute all pairwise dis- similarities between observations in cluster A and observations in cluster B, and record the <i>largest</i> of these dissimilarities.
	Minimal inter-cluster dissimilarity. Compute all pairwise dissim- ilarities between the observations in cluster A and the observa- tions in cluster B, and record the <i>smallest</i> of these dissimilarities.

Linkage	Description
Complete	Maximal inter-cluster dissimilarity. Compute all pairwise dis-
	in cluster B and record the <i>largest</i> of these dissimilarities
Single	Minimal inter-cluster dissimilarity. Compute all pairwise dissim-
	ilarities between the observations in cluster A and the observa-
	tions in cluster B, and record the $smallest$ of these dissimilarities.

Linkage	Description
Complete	Maximal inter-cluster dissimilarity. Compute all pairwise dis-
	similarities between observations in cluster A and observations
	in cluster B, and record the <i>largest</i> of these dissimilarities.
Single	Minimal inter-cluster dissimilarity. Compute all pairwise dissim-
	ilarities between the observations in cluster A and the observa-
	tions in cluster B, and record the <i>smallest</i> of these dissimilarities.
Average	Mean inter-cluster dissimilarity. Compute all pairwise dissimilar-
	ities between the observations in cluster A and the observations
	in cluster B, and record the <i>average</i> of these dissimilarities.

Linkage	Description
Complete	Maximal inter-cluster dissimilarity. Compute all pairwise dis-
	similarities between observations in cluster A and observations
	in cluster B, and record the <i>largest</i> of these dissimilarities.
Single	Minimal inter-cluster dissimilarity. Compute all pairwise dissim-
	ilarities between the observations in cluster A and the observa-
	tions in cluster B, and record the <i>smallest</i> of these dissimilarities.
Average	Mean inter-cluster dissimilarity. Compute all pairwise dissimilar-
	ities between the observations in cluster A and the observations
	in cluster B, and record the <i>average</i> of these dissimilarities.
Centroid	Dissimilarity between the centroid for cluster A (a mean vector
	of length p) and the centroid for cluster B. Centroid linkage can
	result in undesirable <i>inversions</i> .

Different dissimilarity measures can be used for clustering



Figure 12: So far we have used *Euclidean distance*. An alternative is *correlation-based distance* which considers two observations to be similar if their features are highly correlated. This is an unusual use of correlation, which is normally computed between variables; here it is computed between observation profiles for each pair of observations.



Figure 13: First few steps of the hierarchical clustering algorithm using complete linkage and Euclidean distance. Top Left: initially, there are nine distinct clusters, $\{1\}, \{2\}, \ldots, \{9\}$.



Figure 13: First few steps of the hierarchical clustering algorithm using complete linkage and Euclidean distance. Top Left: initially, there are nine distinct clusters, $\{1\}, \{2\}, \ldots, \{9\}$. Top Right: the two clusters that are closest together $\{5\}$ and $\{7\}$ are fused into a single cluster.



Figure 13: First few steps of the hierarchical clustering algorithm using complete linkage and Euclidean distance. Top Left: initially, there are nine distinct clusters, $\{1\}, \{2\}, \ldots, \{9\}$. Top Right: the two clusters that are closest together $\{5\}$ and $\{7\}$ are fused into a single cluster. Bottom Left: the two clusters that are closest together into a single cluster.



Figure 13: First few steps of the hierarchical clustering algorithm using complete linkage and Euclidean distance. Top Left: initially, there are nine distinct clusters, $\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{9\}$. Top Right: the two clusters that are closest together $\{5\}$ and $\{7\}$ are fused into a single cluster. **Bottom Left**: the two clusters that are closest together, $\{6\}$ and $\{1\}$, are fused together into a single cluster. Bottom Right: the two clusters that are closest together using complete linkage, $\{8\}$ and the cluster $\{5, 7\}$, are fused into a single cluster.

Clustering methods

Practical issues in clustering

- Scaling of the variables matters! Should the observations of features first be standardized in some way? For instance, maybe the variables should be centered to have mean zero and scaled to have standard deviation one.
- In the case of hierarchical clustering,
 - What dissimilarity measure should be used?
 - What type of linkage should be used?
- How many clusters to choose? (in both *K*-means or hierarchical clustering). Difficult problem. No agreed-upon method. See *Elements of Statistical Learning*, Chapter 13, for more details.
- Which features should we use to drive the clustering?

- Scaling of the variables matters! Should the observations of features first be standardized in some way? For instance, maybe the variables should be centered to have mean zero and scaled to have standard deviation one.
- In the case of hierarchical clustering,
 - What dissimilarity measure should be used?
 - What type of linkage should be used?
- How many clusters to choose? (in both *K*-means or hierarchical clustering). Difficult problem. No agreed-upon method. See *Elements of Statistical Learning*, Chapter 13, for more details.
- Which features should we use to drive the clustering?

- Scaling of the variables matters! Should the observations of features first be standardized in some way? For instance, maybe the variables should be centered to have mean zero and scaled to have standard deviation one.
- In the case of hierarchical clustering,
 - What dissimilarity measure should be used?
 - What type of linkage should be used?
- How many clusters to choose? (in both *K*-means or hierarchical clustering). Difficult problem. No agreed-upon method. See *Elements of Statistical Learning*, Chapter 13, for more details.
- Which features should we use to drive the clustering?

- Scaling of the variables matters! Should the observations of features first be standardized in some way? For instance, maybe the variables should be centered to have mean zero and scaled to have standard deviation one.
- In the case of hierarchical clustering,
 - What dissimilarity measure should be used?
 - What type of linkage should be used?
- How many clusters to choose? (in both *K*-means or hierarchical clustering). Difficult problem. No agreed-upon method. See *Elements of Statistical Learning*, Chapter 13, for more details.
- Which features should we use to drive the clustering?



Fill in the blanks

1. Hierarchical clustering provides a graphical representation called a ______.

True or false?

- 1. Clusters are subsets of meaningfully similar observations.
- 2. K-means clustering determines the optimal number of clusters K for us.
- 3. Choosing the right number of clusters is inherently subjective.
- 4. Clustering is robust to variable scaling.

Fill in the blanks

1. Hierarchical clustering provides a graphical representation called a dendrogram.

True or false?

- 1. T Clusters are subsets of meaningfully similar observations.
- 2. F K-means clustering determines the optimal number of clusters K for us.
- 3. T Choosing the right number of clusters is inherently subjective.
- 4. F Clustering is robust to variable scaling.

Principal components analysis (PCA) is a method for reducing the dimensionality of a data set. It does this by finding a new set of dimensions, called principal components, that capture as much of the variance in the data as possible. These new dimensions are typically fewer in number than the original dimensions, which makes the data easier to visualize and analyze. PCA is a common technique used in data analysis and machine learning.

K-means clustering is a method for grouping a set of data points into clusters. It does this by finding cluster centers (also called means) that are representative of each group, and assigning each data point to the cluster whose center is closest to it. K-means clustering is an iterative process, and the final clusters depend on the initial cluster centers chosen. This method is often used in data analysis and machine learning to find structure in data.

Hierarchical clustering is a method for grouping data points into clusters. It does this by creating a hierarchy of clusters, where each cluster is defined as a subset of the data points. This hierarchy can be represented as a tree, with the clusters at the leaves and the clusters containing those clusters at the higher levels. Hierarchical clustering is often used in data analysis and machine learning to find structure in data. Unlike k-means clustering, hierarchical clustering does not require the user to specify the number of clusters upfront.

 $^{{}^{5}}$ The content of this slide was prepared by GPT 3.5. No modifications were made to the model's output.

This material draws extensively on James, G., Witten, D., Hastie, T. & Tibshirani, R. (2021). An introduction to statistical learning and the lecture slides available from these authors.